Russian residential and transportation sectors scenarios: coming to 2050

AIM Training Workshop

Maxim G. Dzedzichek

Center for Energy Efficiency

October 22-26, 2007 Tsukuba, Japan

Narrative Storylines for Two Scenarios

Scenario A (Optimistic)

- Energy efficiency and technology progress are intensively introduced.
- Real growth GDP per capita is 6.5% per annum.
- Russia keeps energy status and exports more oil and gas. Secondary and tertiary industries are also growing.
- Consumption in business and households sectors is high credited by low interest rates and high incomes.
- Population and its structure aren't drastically changed as compared with the base year.
- Urbanization is slowly go up.
- Transportation infrastructure is highly developed. Service demand has importantly increased.
- Average floor space of houses and offices has significantly grown up.
- Allocation of time spent for leisure is slowly down.
- Workers are motivated by high wages. Men and women are inclined towards more working.

Narrative Storylines for Two Scenarios

Scenario B (Inertia)

- Current trends of main socio-economic indicators are kept till 2050.
- Annual real GDP growth rate is 3.5%.
- Export of oil and gas hasn't essentially grown up.
- Consumption in business and households sectors is up as well as in the case of optimistic scenario but at a lower rate.
- Population is considerably reduced as compared with the base year. Age structure is changed in favor of elderly people. Number of households came less owing to depopulation.
- Urbanization is slowly go down.
- Transportation infrastructure is more developed but at a lower rate as compared with the optimistic scenario.
- Average floor space of houses and offices has drastically increased because of putting into operation new buildings and depopulation.
- Allocation of time spent for leisure is slowly up.

Key Drivers for Two Scenarios

Indicators	Units	Scenario A	Scenario B
Total floor space of houses	Mln m2	6736	5206
Total floor space of commercial and public buildings	Mln m2	1684	1302
Real GDP growth*	Bln USD	13030	3602
Real GDP growth rate	%	6.5	3.5
Population	Mln	142	108
Number of Households	Mln	47	37

* - Not taking into account purchasing power parity.

Main Indicators of the Residential Sector

Indicators	Units	2002	2003	2004	2005
Total floor space of residential buildings	Mln m2	2853	2855	2917	2949
Average floor space	M2/capita	19.8	20.2	20.5	20.8
Constructed	Mln m2	33.6	36.4	41.0	43.6

			2005											
		COL	OIL	GAS	BMS	S/W	Heat	H2	ELE	Total				
Heating	Mtoe	2.5	0.0	12.1	0.8	0.0	46.7	0.0	0.8	62.8				
Hot Water	Mtoe	0.4	0.2	5.7	0.2	0.0	20.3	0.0	0.4	27.1				
Cooking	Mtoe	0.0	0.7	9.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	10.7				
Lighting	Mtoe	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8	2.8				
Appliances	Mtoe	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	4.8				

Future Energy Service Demand in the Residential Sector (Scenario A)

		Service	Change rate of parameters							Ser	vice		
		Base Year,	Number of Household	Holding rate	Operating hours	Strength	Service Loss	Climatic factor	rate of Service	BaU(U)	CM/Rall	Grounds of Parameter	
		mtoe	αl	α2	a.3	α4	α.5	α6	П(1+аі)-1	CM(L)	CM/DuO		
Space heating	Scenario A	62.94		127.9%		-10.0%	-10.0%	-5.0%	75%	110.37	0.81	Growth of residential floorspace. Introduction of energy-efficient technologies. Global warming.	
	Countermeasure			127.9%		-20.0%	-20.0%	-2.5%	42% 89.50			More intensive introduction of energy-efficiency measurement	
Hot water heating	Scenario A	26.04				-10.0%	-10.0%		-19%	21.82	0.70	Population is stable. Introduction of energy efficient technologies.	
	Countermeasure	20.74				-20.0% -20	-20.0%		-36%	17.24	0.75	More intensive introduction of energy-efficiency measurement	
Cooking	Scenario A	10.72			-10.0%				-10%	9.65	0.83	Population is stable. Introduction of energy efficient stoves. Changing of food cooking style production.	
	Countermeasure				-25.0%				-25%	8.04		More intensive introduction of energy-efficient stoves.	
Electrical appliances	Scenario A	4.84		75.0%	-10.0%				58%	7.62	0.89	Increase of penetration rate for electical appliances. Use of energy- efficient appliances.	
	Countermeasure			75.0%	-20.0%				40%	6.78		More intensive introduction of energy-efficienct appliances.	
Lighting	Scenario A	2.81	2.81			127.9%	-20.0%			82%	5.12	0.75	Growth of residential floorspace. Use of energy-efficienct lamps (e.g. compact fluorescent lamp).
	Countermeasure				127.9%	-40.0%			37%	3.84		More intensive introduction of energy-efficient lamps.	

Future Energy Service Demand in the Residential Sector (Scenario B)

		Service		Ch	ange rate of	parameters			Change rate	Ser	vice	
		Base Year,	Number of Household	Holding rate	Operating hours	Strength	Service Loss	Climatic factor	of Service	BaU(U)	CM/Ball	Grounds of Parameter
		mtoe	αl	α2	α3	α4	α.5	α6	П(1+аі)-1	CM(L)	Cin/Duo	
Space heating	Scenario B	62.04		76.1%		-10.0%	-10.0%	-5.0%	36%	85.30	0.61	Growth of residential floorspace. Introduction of energy-efficient technologies. Global warming.
	Countermeasure	02.94		76.1%		-20.0%	-40.0%	-2.5%	-18%	51.88	0.01	Autonomous introduction of energy-efficiency technologies and measures
Hot water heating	Scenario B	26.04	-26.4%			-10.0%	-10.0%		-40%	16.05	0.70	Depopulation. Introduction of energy-efficient technologies.
	Countermeasure	26.94	-26.4%			-20.0%	-20.0%		-53%	12.68	0.79	Autonomous introduction of energy-efficiency technologies and measures
Cooking	Scenario B	10.72	-26.4%		-10.0%				-34%	7.10	0.78	Depopulation. Introduction of energy-efficient stoves. Changing of food cooking style production.
	Countermeasure	10.72	-26.4%		-30.0%				-49%	5.52	0.78	Autonomous introduction of energy-efficiency technologies and measures
Electrical appliances	Scenario B	1 81		50.0%	-10.0%				35%	6.53	0.80	Increase of penetration rate for electical appliances. Use of energy- efficient appliances.
	Countermeasure	4.04		50.0%	-20.0%				20%	5.81	0.07	Autonomous introduction of energy-efficiency technologies and measures
Lighting	Scenario B	2.01			76.1%	-20.0%			41%	3.96	0.75	Growth of residential floorspace. Use of energy-efficienct lamps (e.g. compact fluorescent lamp).
	Countermeasure	2.01			76.1%	-40.0%			6%	2.97	0.75	Autonomous introduction of energy-efficiency technologies and measures

Application of ESS to Residential Sector



Carbon emissions as a result of countermeasures have decreased by 12 and 16 MtC in Scenario A and B respectively In spite of the drastic increase in total floor space energy consumption has reduced owing to energy efficiency factors



Application of ESS to Residential Sector



Aggregate influence of emission factors amounts to 51% for scenario A and 44% for Scenario B

Main Indicators of Passenger Transportation Sector

Indicators	Units	2002	2003	2004	2005
Passenger train	Bln p-km	152.9	157.6	164.3	172.2
Passenger Bus	Bln p-km	149.9	138.5	129.4	96.3
Passenger Ship	Bln p-km	1.04	0.84	0.83	0.74
Passenger Air	Bln p-km	64.7	71.1	83.0	85.8

			2000										
		COL	OIL	GAS	BMS	S/W	Heat	H2	ELE	Total			
Cars and motorbikes	Mtoe	0.0	49.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.6			
Bus	Mtoe	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7			
Passenger Train	Mtoe	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	3.8			
Passenger Ship	Mtoe	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Passenger Air	Mtoe	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

Demand Settings for Passenger Transportation Sector

Scenario A

	Unit	Walk	Bicycle	Motorbike	Car	Bus	Railway	Maritime	Aviation	Grounds for the parameters
Modal Share	%	5%	1%	3%	57.0%	3%	22.0%	1.0%	8.0%	
Trip Distance	km/trip	3.00	6.00	9.00	12.00	12.00	15.00	6.00	25.00	The same grounds as in the "Inertia" scenario case but the trip generation coefficient is higher.
Volume of transportation	mil.passenger-km	17 976	7 191	32 357	819 719	43 143	395 479	7 191	239 684	

Scenario B

	Unit	Walk	Bicycle	Motorbike	Car	Bus	Railway	Maritime	Aviation	Grounds for the parameters
Modal Share	%	10%	1%	3%	55.0%	3%	20.0%	1.0%	7.0%	Increase of trip generation coefficient. Growing penetration (holding) rate of
			.,,,			•/•				cars as compared to the base year. Supposed bus stocks decrease.
Trip Distance	km/trip	3.00	6.00	9.00	12.00	12.00	15.00	6.00	25.00	Development of railroads cover and train stocks. Development of ports
										infrastructure and increase of vessels. Development of airports
Volume of transportation	mil.passenger-km	25 295	5 059	22 765	556 479	30 353	252 945	5 059	14/551	infrastructure and increase of airplanes.

Application of ESS to Passenger Transportation Sector



Essential increase of volume passenger traffic in Scenario A despite of energy efficiency leads to energy consumption growth

Countermeasures allow restraining carbon emission in Scenario A and decreasing by 14 MtC in Scenario B



Application of ESS to Passenger Transportation Sector



Aggregate influence of emission factors amounts to 9% for scenario A and 24% for Scenario B

Main Indicators of the Freight Transportation Sector

Indicators	Units	2002	2003	2004	2005
Freight train	Bln t-km	1510	1669	1802	1858
Small freight vehicles	Bln t-km	23	25	29	37
Freight ship	Bln t-km	166	136	125	119
Freight air	Bln t-km	2.7	2.7	3.0	2.8

			2000										
		COL	OIL	GAS	BMS	S/W	Heat	H2	ELE	Total			
Small Freight Vehicle	Mtoe	0.0	38.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.2			
Large Freight Vehicle	Mtoe	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7			
Freight Train	Mtoe	0.2	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	3.1			
Freight Ship	Mtoe	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9			
Freight Air	Mtoe	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1			
Pipelines (oil)	Mtoe	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.5			
Pipelines (natural gas)	Mtoe	0.0	0.0	33.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	33.7			

Demand Settings for Freight Transportation Sector

Scenario A

Category	Service De base y	emand in /ear	Service De target y	mand in Jear	Grounds for the parameters
		Unit		Unit	
Small freight vehicles	37	b ton-km	42	b ton-km	GDP growth.
Large freight vehicles	194	b ton-km	300	b ton-km	The same grounds as in "Inertia" scenario but more intensive.
Freight train	1858	b ton-km	2 093	b ton-km	The same grounds as in "Inertia" scenario but more intensive.
Freight ship	119	b ton-km	138	b ton-km	The same grounds as in "Inertia" scenario but more intensive.
Freight air	2.8	b ton-km	4	b ton-km	The same grounds as in "Inertia" scenario but more intensive.
Pipelines (oil and petroleum products)	1116	b ton-km	1 294	b ton-km	The same grounds as in "Inertia" scenario but more intensive.
Pipelines (gas)	1623	b m3-km	1 972	b m3-km	The same grounds as in "Inertia" scenario but more intensive.

Demand Settings for Freight Transportation Sector

Scenario B

Category	Service Demand in base year		Service Demand in target year		Grounds for the parameters
		Unit		Unit	
Small freight vehicles	37	b ton-km	31	b ton-km	Depopulation restrains development of this sector.
Large freight vehicles	194	b ton-km	257	b ton-km	The world-wide GDP growth. More intensive growth of service demand by this sector compensates insufficient development of the other freight sectors.
Freight train	1858	b ton-km	1 950	b ton-km	The worls-wide GDP growth. Insufficient rate of railroads cover development and train stocks renovation restrains the development of this sector.
Freight ship	119	b ton-km	125	b ton-km	The world-wide GDP growth. Insufficient rate of ports infrastructure development and vessels rate of renovation restrains development of this sector.
Freight air	2.8	b ton-km	3	b ton-km	The world–wide GDP growth. Insufficient rate of airports infrastructure development and vessels rate of renovation restrains development of this sector.
Pipelines (oil and petroleum products)	1116	b ton-km	1 239	b ton-km	The world-wide GDP growth. Insufficient rate of new deposits development and lines renovation, low rate of energy efficiency improvement as well as higher demand from the domestic customers don't allow growing up oil and petroleum products transportation.
Pipelines (gas)	1623	b m3-km	1 796	b m3-km	The world-wide GDP growth. Insufficient rate of new deposits development and lines renovation, low rate of energy efficiency improvement as well as higher demand from the domestic customers don't allow growing up oil and petroleum products transportation.

Application of ESS to Freight Transportation Sector



More energy efficient technologies introduction in Scenario A despite of more intensive growth of freight traffic volume allowed approximately the same energy consumption demand as compared with Scenario B

Countermeasures decreases carbon emission by 29 MtC and 30 MtC in Scenario A and B respectively



Application of ESS to Freight Transportation Sector



Aggregate influence of emission factors amounts to 48% for scenario A and 46% for Scenario B