

The 12th AIM Workshop (NIES)

Local application of the LCS scenario development method: A case study "Sustainable Shiga 2030"

Shiga SD 2030 Research Team

20 February 2007

- Propose a method to formulate a future environmental vision
- Develop a set of tool to estimate a quantitative and consistent socio-economic future and its consequence
- Apply the tool to Shiga Prefecture





Estimation flow: socio-economic indicators and GHG emissions Ave. no. of Household family Energy Energy share efficiency Trip generation Traffic demand Service demand unit unit **Energy demand** Population Time budget **Time allocation** Waste incineration consistent Employees **Final demand** CO₂ Working hours Waste generation Labor



(**

Estimating flow: water pollution⁵





Area and Target year

Area : Shiga Prefecture

- Population : approx. 1.38 million (2006)1% of Japan
- Area : approx. 4000km² 1% of Japan
 - Lake Biwa : approx. 670 km²
 - 1/6 of Shiga
- Target Year : 2030
 - Target year of next prefectural long-term plan





Overview of Shiga Prefecture

- Area: 4,017 km²
- Lake Biwa: 670 km² (17%)
- Population: 1,387,475('06)
- Only one pref. where the population would increase until 2030
- Households: 499,716 ('06)
- Share of Secondary Ind. (GDP): 46.7% ('02)







- GHG(CO₂, CH₄) : -40% from 1990 level
 - Considering the necessity of 60 ${\sim}80\%$ reduction from 1990 level by 2050 in Japan
- Water quality in Lake Biwa : Environmental Quality Standards
 - **COD** : 3, TN : 0.2, TP:0.01 mg/L

- Targeting allowable water pollutants inflow to Lake Biwa



Scenario Keywords and Quantification

10

	A	В
Philosophy	Competition, Business performance, Economic growth	Spiritual happiness, Redistribution, International contribution
Lifestyle	Individualism, Externalization of household cares,	Family and community oriented, participation in
	Hard working	social activity
Eco consciousness	low	high
Tech. innovation	fast	slow
National GDP growth/capita	approx. <mark>2%</mark> /year	approx. <mark>1%</mark> /year
Private	Primary : 0.6%	Primary : 3.8%
consumption	Secondary : 10.4%	Secondary : 15.2%
expenditure	Tertiary : 89.0%	Tertiary : 81.0%

Countermeasures : GHGs

Residential and Service	Energy efficiency improvement Fuel switch including renewable Lifestyle change
Industrial	Energy efficiency improvement Fuel switch
Transport	Energy efficiency improvement Traffic modal shift: public transport, bicycle Fuel switch to bio-fuel Compact city, Logistic efficiency
Other	Recycling rate improvement Forest management



Countermeasures : Water pollutants¹²

Household	Kitchen management
	Toilet separating human waste and urine
Municipal wastewater	Advanced treatment system by O ₃ and activated carbon
	Soil trench
Non point source	Treatment system of flush-out rain
	Permeable pavement
Industrial	Connection to treatment systems
Agriculture and	Livestock waste return to farm land,
Forestry	Reducing fertilizer, Forest management
River	On-site treatment, Restoration of ponds
Others	Air quality improvement, Vegetation



Scenario and Environmental measure

	А	В
Trend	Technology oriented Social infrastructure	Tech. & behavior Natural system
GHGs reduction	Energy efficiency improvement in machine & apparatus Compact city Nuclear power	Renewable energy Traffic modal shift Energy conservation Forest management
Water pollutants reduction	Infrastructure: sewage plants, on-site plants Advanced treatment	Kitchen management Forest management Eco-friendly agriculture



Result: Socio-economic indicators

- Real GDP growth A : 1.5%/year B : 0.7%/year
- A: Secondary industry production: 1.5 times than 2000

14

B: Primary industry production: 2.9 times than 2000

	2000年	А	В	A/2000年	B/2000年
Population (thousand)	1342	1381	1381	1.03	1.03
Real GDP (billion)	5884	9022	7311	1.53	1.24
Industrial production (billion)					
Primary industry	95	94	276	0.99	2.89
Secondary industry	7220	10510	8036	1.46	1.11
Tertiary industry	4269	6883	5627	1.61	1.32
Office floor area (1000m ²)	20126	30681	24152	1.52	1.20
Passenger transport (million p · km)	10918	15579	16101	1.43	1.47
Freight transport (million t · km)	4072	4921	4220	1.21	1.04

15 **Result: Environmental measures**

		Α	В	
GHGs	Industrial energy efficiency improvement ratio (compared to 2000)	1.35	1.26	Intensive measures
	Eco-conscious behavior implementation rate (household)	10%	80%	A : e
	PV generation diffusion rate	10%	22%	
	Modal shift in passenger transport (within the area)			char shift
	automobile → rail	20%	40%	
	automobile \rightarrow bicycle	10%	12%	🛛 🖉 Water p
	Hybrid vehicle diffusion rate	98%	40%	A : s
Wa	Sewer diffusion rate	100%	49%	plan
Iter	Advanced treatment diffusion rate	100%	80%	■ B∶€
	Fertilizer reduction diffusion rate	30%	100%	agric
	Diffusion of flushout rain treatment	100%	50%	

GHGs A : energy efficiency imp. B: behavior

- change, modal shift
- Water pollutants
 - A : sewage plants
 - B : eco-friendly agriculture

Result: GHG emissions



(500)

16

Result: Water pollutants inflow¹⁷

Achieve the goals of TN and TP

COD: 2% excess in A, 18% excess in B



CO₂ reduction by measure



18



- Developed a tool to estimate socio-economic indicators and environmental emissions
- Applied it to Shiga prefecture using two scenarios
- Evaluated the effects of measures based upon future socio-economic indicators
- To be used in the policy discussion among Shiga Prefecture
- Future task: cost estimation, back-casting

