# The 18th AIM International Workshop Young Researcher Presentation Award Voting sheet

Thank you for joining the 18th AIM International WS. We are pleased to introduce the "Young Researcher Presentation Award" from this WS. We would be pleased if you actively participate in this new program. Thank you.

## What is Young Researcher Presentation Award?

Young researchers, the next generation leaders of AIM activities, need good skills in presenting their research achievements in international conferences. This award celebrates presentations delivered most impressively, effectively, and professionally by young speakers.

## Who?

- Eligible: speakers under 40 years old on as of Dec.14th (listed on the backside page)
- Voter: all participants

#### What am I asked to do?

- Please vote for the best presentation in this WS.
- Note that good presentations do not necessarily mean those presented in good English and with good presentation techniques. The scientific quality, enthusiasm and commitment for research would be also taken into account.
- Your vote will encourage young researchers to further improve their research and presentation skill.

## How to vote?

- Write the name of the best speaker (other than yourself) in the form below
- Voting for two or more speakers or yourself will be invalid.
- If you have any message to him/her, please also write it in the box below.
- Your message will be delivered to awardees.
- Put this sheet into the box on the front table.

## How to celebrate?

- Three speakers with the largest number of votes will be awarded.
- Their name will be announced in the AIMWS web site.

Session	Name of best speaker	Message to him/her	

[Please use this table for notes. This table is NOT for voting. Please select the best speaker and write his/her name in the box on the front page.]

Session	Speaker	1) Research quality	2) Presentation quality	Total1)+2)
		Quality of research	Inspiring, effective and impactful presentation	
		Interesting topic	Level of confidence & enthusiasm	
		Enthusiasm & commitment	Clear structure & clear points	
		Progress of research	Good allocation of time	
		Quality of discussion	Visual aids easily seen by audience	
		etc.	Use of eye contact	
			etc.	
III	Dr. Chan Park (NIER, Korea)			
	Dr. Yumiko Asayama (NIES, Japan)			
IV	Dr. Tomoko Hasegawa (NIES, Japan)			
	Dr. Shinichiro Fujimori (NIES, Japan)			
V	Ms. Shivika Mittal (IIM, India) and			
	Dr. Kshama Puntambekar (SPAB, India)			
	Ms. Sujeetha Selvakkumaran and			
	Mr. Kamphol Promjiraprawat			
	(Thammasat Univ., Thailand)			
VI	Dr. Kiyoshi Takahashi (NIES, Japan)			
	Dr. Yoshimitsu Masaki (NIES, Japan)			
	Dr. Naota Hanasaki (NIES, Japan)			
	Dr. Tomoko Hasegawa (NIES, Japan)			
	Dr. Yonghee Shin (APCC, Korea)			
	Dr. Takahiro Yamamoto (Nagaoka			
	National College of Technology, Japan)			
VII	Dr. Min Feng (IGSNRR., China)			
IX	Dr. Tatsuya Hanaoka (NIES, Japan)			
	Dr. Yuko Kanamori (NIES, Japan)			
	Dr. Shuichi Ashina (NIES, Japan)			
	Dr. Silva Diego (NIES, Japan)			
X	Dr. Hancheng Dai (NIES, Japan)			
	Ms. Reina Kawase (Kyoto Univ., Japan)			
	Mr. Ken Oshiro (MHIR, Japan)			