

Implications for agriculture of +1.5° and +2.0°C global warming

Ron Sands USDA Economic Research Service

The 24th Asia-Pacific Integrated Modeling (AIM) International Workshop National Institute for Environmental Studies

> 5-6 November 2018 Tsukuba, Japan

This research was supported in part by the intramural research program of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service. The findings and conclusions in this preliminary presentation have not been formally disseminated by the U.S. Department of Agriculture and should not be construed to represent any Agency determination or policy.

Outline

- Modeling framework
 - 5 climate models
 - 3 crop models
 - 2 global economic models (IMPACT and FARM)
- Scenario Framework
- Economic responses
 - Climate impacts and adaptation
 - Large-scale biomass demand
- Contributions to uncertainty in crop yield
- Conclusions and modeling challenges



AgMIP*

Coordinated Global and Regional Assessments



*Agricultural Model Intercomparison and Improvement Project (AgMIP)



Scenarios for climate and crop models

- The following slide displays global average variation in yield across crops, CO₂ effect, degree of warming, climate models and crops models
- Four major field crops
 - Maize
 - Wheat
 - Rice
 - Soybeans
- Two CO₂ concentrations
 - With CO_2 effect (487 ppm)
 - Without CO₂ effect (390 ppm)
- Two worlds
 - World with +1.5°C warming
 - World with +2.0°C warming
- 15 data points within each box plot
 - 5 climate models
 - 3 crop models





Source: Ruane et al. (2018) "Biophysical and economic implications for agriculture of +1.5° and +2.0°C global warming using AgMIP Coordinated Global and Regional Assessments," *Climate Research* 76: 17-39.



Economic Responses

- Two global economic models with 13 world regions
 - Future Agricultural Resources Model (FARM), USDA Economic Research Service
 - IMPACT, International Food Policy Research Institute
 - Simulation results for 2050
- Adaptation to climate change
 - Four crops (with CO₂ effect)
 - Percent change in area harvested (moves in opposite direction from production shock)
 - Percent change in price (also moves in opposite direction from production shock)
- Response to mitigation (large-scale biomass production)
 - At this level of warming, economic response to bioenergy demand dominates response to climate impacts
 - Cropland area declines and prices increase
 - Large increase in area for energy crops
 - Pasture area declines



Global economic model simulations (with CO₂ effect)

a) IMPACT model SSP2



Source: Ruane et al. (2018) "Biophysical and economic implications for agriculture of +1.5° and +2.0°C global warming using AgMIP Coordinated Global and Regional Assessments," *Climate Research* 76: 17-39.



Global economic model simulations (with CO₂ effect) b) FARM model SSP2



Note: The mitigation scenario is run independently of climate impact scenarios

Source: Ruane et al. (2018) "Biophysical and economic implications for agriculture of +1.5° and +2.0°C global warming using AgMIP Coordinated Global and Regional Assessments," *Climate Research* 76: 17-39.



c) FARM model SSP2 with Mitigation - Land Use Changes



Note: The mitigation scenario is run independently of climate impact scenarios

Source: Ruane et al. (2018) "Biophysical and economic implications for agriculture of +1.5° and +2.0°C global warming using AgMIP Coordinated Global and Regional Assessments," *Climate Research* 76: 17-39.



Contributions to Uncertainty

- Core scenarios (15)
 - Definition
 - 5 climate models x 3 crop models
 - Shared Socio-economic Pathway 2 (middle of road)
 - With CO₂ effect
 - World with +2.0°C warming
 - Variation across all models
 - Climate models only
 - Crop models only
- Other yield comparisons
 - With and without CO₂ effect (+2.0°C warming)
 - Amount of warming (+1.5°C and +2.0°C warming) with CO_2 effect
 - Amount of warming (+1.5°C and +2.0°C warming) without CO_2 effect





Source: Ruane et al. (2018) "Biophysical and economic implications for agriculture of +1.5° and +2.0°C global warming using AgMIP Coordinated Global and Regional Assessments," *Climate Research* 76: 17-39.



Conclusions and Modeling Challenges

- Key results from models
 - Variation introduced by crop models is greater than variation across climate models
 - Change in crop yield is generally negative without CO₂ effect
 - At this level of climate stabilization (+1.5°C and +2.0°C), economic response to bioenergy demand dominates response to climate impacts
- Significance of agricultural productivity
 - Increasing demand for animal products with rising per-capita incomes
 - Land competition between energy crops and food crops for a growing population
 - Growing more food on less land in mitigation scenarios
- Realism of reference scenario
 - UN medium population projections for 2050 have increased from 9.3 billion to 9.8 billion people
 - Consider alternative reference scenarios based on Shared Socio-economic Pathways (SSPs)
- Realism of electricity generation for mitigation scenarios
 - Highly stylized in most global models
 - Improve representation of bio-electricity relative to wind and solar
 - Introduce electricity storage over day-types and seasons

