AIM/Enduse Model for Thailand:

Effects of CO₂ Emission Constraints



Ram M. Shrestha Asian Institute of Technology Thailand

9th AIM International Workshop, Tsukuba, Japan

Presentation outline

• Improvements in the present analysis

- Energy use and CO₂ Emissions: Historical Trends
- Energy Mix and Emissions under base case
- Energy Mix and Emissions under CO₂ Reduction

Conclusions



Major improvements in the present analysis

• AIM/Enduse model includes detailed modeling of iron &

steel and cement manufacturing processes

 Service demand forecasts based on techno-economic approaches

• Use of more disaggregated and up to date data

• Planning horizon extended to 2030



Energy use and CO₂ Emissions: Historical Trends and Status

Economic Growth, Energy Use and CO₂ Emission

• <u>AAGR:</u>

	1971-1996	1997-1999	1999-2000	
TPES	6.64	-1.62	5.22	
CO2 Emissions	9.35	-2.81	4.45	
GDP MER	7.68	-6.11	4.26	

• CO₂ emission from energy use increased at a faster rate than TPES and GDP



Data source: IEA, 2002



CO₂ Intensity (tCO₂/TJ) in selected Countries and Regions during 1971-1999

- Relatively high growth of CO2 intensity in Thailand.
- CO₂intensity was increasing from 30 tons/TJ to 54 tons/TJ during 1971-1996 and decreased slightly thereafter.



AAGR:

Thailand:	2.1%		
Asia:	0.4%		
Developing:	0.8%		
OECD:	-0.6%		
World:	-0.4%		

Data Source: World Resources Institute WRI, 2003.

9th AIM International Workshop Tsukuba, Japan



CO₂ Intensity (tCO₂/GDP) in selected Countries and Regions in 1971-2000, tCO₂/10⁶US\$ PPP (1995 Prices)

Relatively high growth of CO₂ intensity in Thailand.

AAGR:

Thailand: 1.5%

Asia:-1.0% (mainly due to fall in

China)

Developing Countries:0.1%

OECD: -1.6%

World: -1.5%



Data Source: World Resources Institute WRI, 2003.

9th AIM International Workshop Tsukuba, Japan



Description of Scenarios

- ✓ Base Case during 2000-2030.
- CO₂ Emission Reduction Cases: CO₂ emissions of 5%, 10%
 and 15% from that of the Base case. (hereafter denoted as ER5, ER10 & ER15 respectively)



Energy Mix and Emissions during 2000-2030

Primary Energy Supply-Base case

✓ AAGR of TPES during 2000-2030 is 3.4% as compared to 6.0 % 1971-2000 \checkmark Oil and biomass shares to decrease from 2000 to 2030 \checkmark Coal and gas share to increase from 2000 to 2030



Power Generation Share by Energy Type in Base case

✓ Coal share to increase from 22.5% in 2000 to 64.6% in 2030
✓ Gas share to decrease from 60.5%

in 2000 to 17.4% in 2030

✓ Oil share to decrease from 13.1%
 in 2000 to 0% in 2030

 Non-hydro Renewable share to increase 0% in 2000 to 15.0% in 2030



Electricity Generation Share by Energy Types (%)



CO₂ Emission during 2000-2030 - Base case





Total emissions during 2000-2030: 9,838 million tons

9th AIM International Workshop Tsukuba, Japan



CO₂ Intensity (tCO2/TJ) by Sector in Base case

- Overall CO₂ intensity during
 2000-2030 almost constant
 around 62-63 tons/TJ
- ✓ Intensity Ranking:
 - 1.Agriculture, 2.Power,
 - 3.Transport, 4.Industry,
 - 5.Residential & 6.Commercial.
- The CO₂ intensity would be decreasing in all sectors except power and industry.





Energy Mix and Emissions under CO₂ Emission Reduction Targets

Primary Energy Mix under CO, Emission Reduction Targets (1)

Natural Gas







9th AIM International Workshop Tsukuba, Japan

12-14 March 2004

ΔΙΤ

Primary Energy Mix under CO₂ Emission Reduction Targets (2)



In ER5 and ER10 cases, primary electricity use remain at Base Case levels **ER15**, In **Primary** Electricity generation would increase

9th AIM International Workshop Tsukuba, Japan



Use of Renewable Energy for Power Generation to meet the Emission Reduction Targets

Renewable based power generation in Base and ER cases, Mtoe

	Basecase		ER5		ER15	
	2010	2030	2010	2030	2010	2030
Solar	0.72	3.62	0.72	3.62	1.52	4.48
Wind	0.72	3.62	0.72	3.62	0.76	3.96
Geothermal	0.36	3.62	0.36	3.62	0.38	3.96
Biomass	-	-	2.34	9.4	2.48	11.12

 Non-Hydro renewable power generation account for only 11.4 % and 29.8% in ER15 in years 2010 and 2030 respectively.

✓ Biomass based power generation would play a greater role

9th AIM International Workshop Tsukuba, Japan



Total Primary Energy use under ER Targets



Total Energy Use, ktoe

 CO_2 emission ۲ reduction targets are found to have little effect on total primary energy requirement during the period

•Emission reductions achieved mainly through fuel switching.

9th AIM International Workshop Tsukuba, Japan



CO₂ Intensity (tCO2/TJ) during 2000-2030 in Base and ER cases



• CO₂ Intensity of Thailand is higher than that of world average and many other countries in 2000

The CO₂ Intensity of Thailand by year 2030 under ER15 would be close to the OECD CO₂ intensity in 2000

9th AIM International Workshop Tsukuba, Japan



NO₂, SO₂ under CO₂ Emission Reduction Targets

Total NO_x Emission under Base and Emission Reduction Cases (ERs)



Total SO₂ Emission under Base and Emission Reduction Cases (ERs)



Total cumulative NO₂ emission in ER15 8.6% less than in Base case during 2000-2030

- Total cumulative SO₂ emission in ER15 35.4% less than in Base case during 2000-2030
- SO₂ reduction higher than NO₂



Incremental CO₂ Abatement Cost (\$/ton CO₂)

- The average incremental cost of CO₂ abatement (IAC) would increase from \$28 per ton of CO₂ in ER5 case to \$111 per ton of CO₂ in ER15 case.
- At IAC of 28 \$/tCO₂, about 142 million tons of CO₂ could be mitigated (cumulative) during 2010-2030.
- At IAC of \$46/ tCO₂ and \$111/tCO₂, 468 and 978 million tons of CO₂ (cumulative) could be reduced respectively.



12-14 March 2004



9th AIM International Workshop Tsukuba, Japan

Conclusions

- CO₂ intensity in Thailand has been growing at a higher rate than most countries in Asia. It continues to remain high during 2000-2030.
- CO₂ intensity of Thailand in 2030 under ER15 case, would be almost the same as that of OECD (about 55 tCO2/TJ) in 2000
- Fuel switching (mainly to natural gas and biomass energy) would be the major cost effective CO₂ mitigation options.
- Biomass based power generation would account for 15% of total power generation in 2030 under ER10 and ER15 cases.
- IAC of CO₂ ranges for \$28 to \$111/ton CO₂ in ER5 to ER15
 cases

9th AIM International Workshop Tsukuba, Japan



Thank You

GDP, Total Primary Energy Supply and CO₂ Emission in 2000

- GDP: 170.34 x 10⁹ US\$
- TPES: 73.6 Mtoe
- CO₂: 158.9 x 10⁶ tons
- Population: 60.7 million

Thailand: 2nd largest economy in South & South East Asia



Data source: IEA, 2002



Total Primary Energy Supply (TPES) 1991-2000, Mtoe



•TPES: 48.6 Mtoe in 1991 and 73.06 in 2000 (1.6 times that in 1991)

• Fossil fuel share increased from 68% to 81.6%

Energy Supply in 1991 Natural Gas Oil 14.3% 42.3% Electricity 2.2% Biomass Coal 32.0% 9.2% Energy Supply in 2000 Natural Gas Oil 24.7% 44.8% Electricity Biomass Coal 2.0% 18.4% 10.0%

Data Source: IEA (2002), DEDP (2002

ΔΙΤ

9th AIM International Workshop Tsukuba, Japan

Energy Intensity in Thailand in 1971-2000

 Energy intensity was decreasing from 0.24 in 1975 to 0.18 kgoe/US\$95 PPP in 1986, remaining at this level until 1996 and increasing thereafter.



Data Source: IEA, 2003.



Sectoral CO₂ Emissions in 1972 and 2000

- Substantial increase of the power sector contribution
- Power, Transport and Industrial sectors were the three largest emission sources, accounting for over 80% of total CO₂ emission



Sectoral CO₂ Intensities in Thailand during 1980-2000, tons/TJ

- <u>CO2 intensity rising in all</u> <u>sectors</u>
- Sec. Int. Ranking in 2000:
 - 1. Transport , 2. Power,
 - 3. Industry and 4. Res.&Com.

• <u>AAGR:</u>

Transport: 3.2% Power: 2.0% Industry: 4.2% Res.&Com.: 5.5%

Data Source: IEA, 2003.

Final Energy Use by Sector-Base case

- ✓ Agriculture share to decrease from
 2.9% in 2000 to 1.7% in 2030
- ✓ Commercial share to increase from 4.6% in 2000 to 5.5% in 2030
- ✓ Industry share to increase from 32.4% in 2000 to 42.1% in 2030
- Residential share to decrease from
 22.1% in 2000 to 11.6% in 2030
- Transport share to increase slightly from 38.0% in 2000 to 39.1% in 2030

Energy Demand by Sector, Mtoe

Fuel Mix for Electricity Generation under CO₂ Emission Reduction Targets

- ER is achieved by a reduction in coal
- Gas, biomass and solar are substitutes for coal in electricity generation

9th AIM International Workshop Tsukuba, Japan

ΔΙΤ

Energy import dependency (EID) under CO₂ Reduction targets

- EID would be reduced from 76% in base case to less than 70% in all ER cases by 2030.
- Mainly due to decrease in imports of coal and oil.
- Increase in the use of Natural gas and domestic renewable energy resources (i.e., biomass, solar, wind and geothermal).
- Share of natural gas to increase by 231.0 Mtoe (29.8%) and biomass by 111.4 Mtoe (39.3% compared to the base case) to that from Base case to ER15 case.

