

Introduction

- Residential energy demand varies with socioeconomic and climate conditions. How should we formulate regional differences in people's energy consumption behavior?
- Method 1: Estimates energy demand models for all regions. Each region is characterized by a unique regression coefficient vector [1].
- Method 2: Conducts a panel-data analysis. Each region is characterized by a regional effect (an individual fixed effect).
- Here, I propose the third method, grouped regressor effect model (GREM). The GREM classifies regions into several groups and estimates a regression coefficient vector for each group.

Methods

• Grouped regressor effect model (GREM)

Step 1: Classifies regions into several groups.

I classified Japan's 47 prefectures into 10 groups: Hokkaido, Tohoku, Kanto, Hokuriku, Chubu, Kansai, Chugoku, Shikoku, Kyushu, and Okinawa.

Step 2: Constructs the GREM (Figure 1).

- The GREM is a Gaussian linear regression model that decomposes energy demand into four effects: regional, time, population, and grouped-regressor.
- The effect of each regressor differs among the groups.

Step 3: Estimates the GREM parameters.

- I estimated the model parameters using elastic net [2] and lacksquareLOOCV. Unnecessary regressors were automatically removed from the model.
- R 4.2.1 and glmnet 4.1-4 [3] were used for parameter ulletestimation.
- [1] Honjo and Fujii. 2014. Reg. Sci. Pol. Pract., 6, 13-30.
- [2] Zou and Hastie. 2005. J. R. Stat. Soc. B, 67, 301-320.
- [3] Friedman et al. 2022. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/glmnet/index.html

Explaining regional differences in Japan's residential energy demand: **Grouped regressor effect approach**

Keita Honjo

Center for Environmental Science in Saitama, Japan honjo.keita@pref.saitama.lg.jp

Regional effect log(Energy demand) Time effect Type: electricity and fuel Data source: ANRE log(Population) Regressor log(Average household size) log(Income level) (income tax per taxpayer) log(Energy CPIs) (electricity, town gas, and kerosene) CDDs (base temperatures = $18^{\circ}C$, $23^{\circ}C$, and $28^{\circ}C$) HDDs (base temperatures = $8^{\circ}C$, $13^{\circ}C$, and $18^{\circ}C$)

• The estimated GREMs could explain historical changes in residential electricity and fuel demands in Japan's 47 prefectures (Table 1).

- The regressor effects differed among the regions (Figure 2).
- **Figure 3** shows the temperature response functions of electricity and fuel demands per capita, calculated from the regression coefficients of CDDs and HDDs.
- The estimation results suggest that the grouped regressor effects are necessary to explain Japan's residential energy demand.
- A future challenge is to find the best way of classifying Japan's 47 prefectures into groups.

Table 1: Summary of the estimated models **Regularization** In-samp Mixing Energy parameter (λ) MAPE (parameter (α) Electricity 0.96 9.890e-06 4.194 5.979 1.00 2.071e-05 Fuel



Results

| ple (%) | CV RMSE |
|------------|---------|
| | 0.062 |
| | 0.090 |



Figure 2: Elasticities of the grouped regressors with respect to electricity and fuel demands per capita



Figure 3: Temperature response functions of electricity and fuel demands per capita

 $\sum_{i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \beta_{i}^{m} \mathbf{1} (j \in R_{i}) X_{jt}^{m} \right)$

Regressor X^m in prefecture j in year t Set of prefectures that belong to region *i*

Indicator function that returns 1 if $j \in R_i$ and 0

Coefficient of regressor X^m for region *i*

Regressor selection and parameter estimation (elastic net)

Model performance assessment (In-sample MAPE and CV RMSE)

- Average household size (electricity)
- Average household size (fuel)
- Income level (electricity)
- Income level (fuel)
- Electricity CPI (electricity)
- Town gas CPI (fuel)
- ----- Kerosene CPI (fuel)

Group ID: Hokkaido = 1, Tohoku = 2, Kanto = 3, Hokuriku = 4, Chubu = 5, Kansai = 6, Chugoku = 7, Shikoku = 8, Kyushu = 9, and Okinawa = 10