
# **Climate Policy Modeling: Some Insights for India**

Presentation by P.R. Shukla Indian Institute of Management, Ahmedabad

COP 8 Side-event (October 25 - 15:00 to 17:00 hours) Asia-Pacific Forum for Collaborative Modeling of Climate Policy Assessment October 25, 2002, Hotel Grand Inter-Continental, New Delhi




# **Integrated Framework for Climate Change**








### **AIM Model System**






#### **Model System for India's Emissions Policy Analysis**





#### **Soft-linked Models Framework**



# Insights from Integrated Climate Change Assessment



# **Few Key Questions for Emissions Policies**

- What is the cost-effective emissions pathways to achieve a specific "GHG concentration stabilization" level?
- What will be the future trends of emissions and intensities?
- How the local and GHG emissions control policies linked?
- What is mitigation supply curve for India?
- How can regional cooperation help in climate change issues?
- What would be the implications of stabilization regime, e.g. 550 ppmv, on India's energy system during the century
- Is it worth to fund supply-side push of clean technologies like solar PV for GHG mitigation?




### The Framework Convention On Climate Change (UNFCCC)

**Objective:** 

...stabilization of greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system. (p.5)




### **Emissions and Concentrations**






#### **Energy and Carbon Emissions for India: AIM/ENDUSE Model**






# **Energy, Carbon, Electricity and GDP**

(History and Projections for Reference Scenario)







#### **GDP intensities of Energy, Electricity and Carbon** (Reference Scenario)







# SO<sub>2</sub> Emission AIM/Emission Model




# **GHG versus Local Emissions in India**

**Carbon Emissions SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Million Tonnes** Carbon (MT) ſ Year Year



# Carbon Mitigation Supply Curve (2005-2035)






# **Carbon Mitigation**

(under different Post-Kyoto Scenarios)

Scenario	Kyoto Period 2000-2012	Medium Term 2000-2030	Long Terr 2000-2100
<b>750 ppmv</b>	138 (3%)	743 (5%)	11334 (11%
650 ppmv	301 (7%)	1555 (11%)	23666 (23%
550 ppmv	449 (10%)	2503 (17%)	33284 (32%




#### Indian Energy System Transformation Under 550 ppmv Stabilization






# **Technological Change in India to Stabilize CO<sub>2</sub> at 550 ppmv**






# **Regional Energy Market Development**






#### Impact of Regional Energy Market Developments in South-Asia





#### **Advanced Technology: Competitive** *Paradox*





# Conclusions

- There is no "silver bullet" for mitigation or adaptation
- Local and global emissions mitigation policies are disjointed
- Regional cooperation can reduce the climate mitigation and impacts costs significantly
- Strong mitigation regime, e.g. 550 ppmv, can alter the regional energy system significantly
- Global cost-effectiveness requires substantial mitigation (and adaptation) in developing countries
- Supply-push of few clean technologies is inadequate for mitigation
- Climate Change impacts is complicated due to "winners and losers" rather than absolute impacts burden
- Integrated policy assessment is vital for linking climate change and sustainable development

