



## Climate variability and changes at the regional scale: what we can learn from various downscaling approaches

by Philippe Gachon<sup>1,2,3</sup>

Milka Radojevic<sup>1,2</sup>, Hyung-Il Eum<sup>3</sup>, René Laprise<sup>3</sup> & Van Thanh Van Nguyen<sup>2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Adaptation & Impacts Research Section, Environnement Canada
 <sup>2</sup>Global and Environmental Climate Change Centre Centre (GEC3, McGill)
 <sup>3</sup> Centre pour l'Étude et la Simulation du Climat à l'Échelle Régionale (ESCER), UQÀM
 <sup>4</sup>Department of Civil Engineering & Applied Mechanics @ McGill

CENTRE ESC POUR L'ÉTUDE ET LA SIMULATION DU C À L'ÉCHELLE RÉGIONALE

## Content

## • Introduction:

- Main objectives of our on-going projects
- Climate variability vs anticipated (Canada) from AOGCMs
- RCM evaluation over the current period (i.e. predictability):
  - Atmospheric variability: ex. simulated storms track (intensity, duration/persistence and frequency) vs reanalysis products
  - Extreme indices
  - Trends and interannual anomalies: ex. the use of weighting procedure
- Scenarios: spreading and consistency of results from ensemble runs





## **NSERC-SRO & MDEIE projects (Canada): 2007-2010 & 2009-2012** "Probabilistic assessment of regional changes in climate variability

#### and extremes"



Natural Sciences and Engineering Co Research Council of Canada na

Conseil de recherches en sciences naturelles et en génie du Canada

Canada

Développement économique, Innovation et Exportation

Québec 👪 🏜

Three main objectives:

- I) Development and application of statistical downscaling methods in order to generate (multi-site & multivariate) climate information
- II) Development and evaluation of current & future highresolution RCMs. Applying statistical downscaling methods from GCM resolutions to future RCM resolutions
- III) Generate high resolution probabilistic climate change scenarios including extremes and variability with assessments of their associated uncertainties





## INTRODUCTION: Climate variability (observed vs simulated) Global vs Continental scale:

Annual Mean 2-m air temperature Historical evolution (1900-2005) Anomalies with respect to 1901-1950 mean values

GLOBAL AND CONTINENTAL TEMPERATURE CHANGE



ironment

models using both natural and anthropogenic forcings

© PCC 2007: WG1-AR4



## INTRODUCTION: Climate change (anticipated 21st century) Global Annual Mean near-surface temperature changes (°C) From various AOGCMs and emission scenarios (2000-2100)

MULTI-MODEL AVERAGES AND ASSESSED RANGES FOR SURFACE WARMING



# INTRODUCTION: Climate change (anticipated 21<sup>st</sup> century)



# **AOGCMs information:** increase of uncertainties over time & through seasons



**RCM EVALUATION:** need to incorporate major sources of uncertainties or to capture the main source of **predictability** 

**RCM Reliability & uncertainties mainly related to:** 

- Boundary conditions (GCMs or/vs reanalysis driven)
- Physical parameterizations
- Complexity of the physiographic conditions to simulate (ex. northern Canada)
- Downscaling approaches (ex. one or two-way nesting approach, spectral nudging, domain size, resolution, numerical scheme, etc.)





## **RCM evaluation: Matrix of runs**



#### RCM evaluation over the current period (i.e. predictability): Atmospheric variability: ex. storms track (intensity, duration/persistence and frequency) with comparison with reanalysis products

ANALYSIS OVER THE HUDSON BAY AREA (ex. December month) under a maritime infrastructure project (vulnerability study)

December Storm Track (1979-2009) NARR Origin of cyclone per direction A 1979-2004 40 22 20 SE SO NO NF. NARR MRCC4,1,1 NCEP Mean Intensity (absolute vorticy at 1000-hPa) per direction 1979-2004 ×10-5 Projected Coordinate System: North Pole Stereographic Geographic Coordinate System: GCS WGS 1984 Corr. Coeff. & P.Value Density of intense storms Mean duration of storms R1, PV1: NARR vs NCEP R1=0.47 PV1=0.007 R3=0.53 PV3=0.005 R1=0,53 PV1=0,002 R2=0.28 PV2=0.172 R3=0.16 PV3=0.447 R2=0.4 PV2=0.043 R2, PV2: NARR vs MRCC 3 3 R3, PV3: MRCC vs NCEP 2 2 Standard deviation Standard deviation NARR 1 NCEP -1 -1 -2 -3 MRCC 1980 1985 1990 2000 2010 1995 2005 -3 1985 2010 1980 1990 1995 2000 2005

years

**RCM evaluation over the current period (i.e. predictability):** main issues is not only related to the density of storms (i.e. occurrence) BUT also to their persistence (stalled & new development of cyclones, i.e. centres, speed of moving, explosive develop. along the track)

February Density of Cyclone Centers (1979-2009) NARR / grid 300 KM

February Density of Storm Tracks (1979-2009) NARR / grid 300 KM





## **RCM evaluation: southern Québec**

Spearman correlation between RCM vs OBS (annual standardized values over 1979-2001) NARCCAP runs (driven by NCEP/DOE)

| Variable | Indice | CRCM          | ECP2           | ЕСРС          | HRM3           | MM5I           | RCM3           | WRFP   | WRFG           |
|----------|--------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|----------------|
|          | rho    | 0.7831        | 0.8554         | 0.7846        | 0.7253         | 0.7885         | 0.69           | 0.4296 | 0.6826         |
| tmin     | р      | 0.7 10-6      | 2.1783<br>10-6 | 6.286<br>10-6 | 1.3735<br>10-4 | 5.3863<br>10-6 | 1.9867<br>10-4 | 0.0373 | 3.392<br>10-4  |
|          | rho    | 0.8485        | 0.8162         | 0.78          | 0.6502         | 0.5531         | 074            | 0.5435 | 0.7443         |
| tmax     | р      | 2.135<br>10-6 | 2.3766<br>10-6 | 7.6 10-6      | 0.001          | 0.0047         | 3.8039<br>10-5 | 0.0068 | 4.8124<br>10-5 |
|          | rho    | 0.3377        | 0.0615         | 0.0677        | 0.1885         | 0.0038         | 0.3069         | 0.2261 |                |
| pr       | р      | 0.0992        | 0.7698         | 0.7473        | 0.3653         | 0.9868         | 0.1356         | 0.2867 |                |





# Reliability & uncertainties depend on: Downscaling approaches and mean versus extremes (seasonally dependent)

... no single model is best for all climate variables and statistics. Thus, multimodel information has value, which can be enhanced with a performance-based weighting of the contributing models.



Ex. for Tmin at seasonal scale over southern Québec (1980-2001)

# RCM Evaluation using ANUSPLIN (gridded observed data) over various regions of Canada (south of 60°N)

Ø National Daily 10km Gridded Dataset over Canada's continental area (south of 60°N) represents Environment Canada's climate station observations interpolated (using ANUSPLIN) on 10km horizontal resolution grid (Hutchinson et al., 2009; <u>www.agr.gc.ca/nwlis-snite</u>)

Ø This dataset used as reference in this study

has been previously interpolated on CRCM grid (resolution of 45km @ 60°N ) Black grid points





Region covered by ANUSPLIN data interpolated on Polar Stereographic grid of CRCM (AMNO: 182x174)



#### **RCM Evaluation : Seasonal biases across Canada (runs from NARCCAP & CRCMD)**

#### Precipitation amount (mm/day)

#### 21-yr Winter (Dec-Jan-Feb) Climatology (1980-2001)



#### **RCM Evaluation : Seasonal biases across Canada (runs from NARCCAP & CRCMD)**

Daily Minimum 2m Temperature (°C)

#### 21-yr Winter (Dec-Jan-Feb) Climatology (1980-2001)



# RCM Evaluation : Seasonal biases across Canada (different versions of the Canadian RCM, & ARPEGE, all runs from Ouranos)

1971-2000

Daily Minimum (2m) Temperature (STMN) in °C

Difference between RCMs & observed gridded values (ANUSPLIN)



#1 Strong cold biases (> 3°C for CRCMs) in British Columbia and north-eastern area of Labrador, except in southern Quebec

#2 Strong warm biases across Canada in ARPEGE (> 4 °C)



30-yr Winter (Dec-Jan-Feb) Climatology (1971-2000)



Some RCMs have systematic biases, and also a clear tendency to enhance these in more extremely cold or warm conditions

1971-2000 Extreme Cold Winter Temperature

10th percentile of STMN, (°C)

Difference between RCMs output & observed gridded values (ANUSPLIN)



#1 CRCMs: Strong cold biases (> 4°C) across most of Canada and south-eastern border
#2 ARPEGE: Strong warm biases across Canada, except in northern part of the region
Different versions of the Canadian RCM, & ARPEGE, all from Ouranos



ent Environnement Canada

30-yr Winter (Dec-Jan-Feb) Climatology (1971-2000)



#### RCM evaluation: variability of fields and their links with atmospheric circulation

Spatial distribution the first two PC coefficients for winter minimum temperature

Spatial pattern from observed gridded values and GEMCLIM (50-km)



a) first PC from ANUSPLIN



c) first PC from GEMCLIM



b) second PC from ANUSPLIN



d) second PC from GEMCLIM

|     | ANU    | JSPLIN (Tr | nin)   |        | GEMCLIM (Tmin) |        |        |        |        |
|-----|--------|------------|--------|--------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| %   | winter | spring     | summer | autumn | %              | winter | spring | summer | autumn |
| PC1 | 55.1   | 61.2       | 52.9   | 51.9   | PC1            | 57.9   | 67.5   | 56.9   | 61.1   |
| PC2 | 24.5   | 17.8       | 13.3   | 26.0   | PC2            | 20.9   | 11.3   | 15.7   | 18.8   |
| PC3 | 7.5    | 5.7        | 7.3    | 6.6    | PC3            | 8.0    | 7.3    | 9.5    | 6.6    |



## How to address these uncertainties ? Ex. Weighting procedure Rationale behind its use

- Construct a system for probabilistic regional climate change Projections;
- Concerning RCMs, this included exploration of performance-based model weights;

• Allow the combination of individual model simulations in a more skilled sense than just taking each model as being equally good and providing arithmetic model averages and simple model spreads

#### Hence

Weighting scheme can be potentially useful to have comprehensive & independent evaluation against reanalyses/observations & to construct probabilistic scenarios





# Application of the weighting scheme



## Southern Quebec and Ontario regions

- 43.93 to 48.08 °N
- 71.97 to 78.13 °W
- 113 grid points

## Climate Data (daily simulated and observed)

- 1) NARR, 2) CRCM4.1.1 driven by NCEP, 3) CRCM4.1.1 driven by ERA40, and 4) CRCM4.2.3 driven by ERA40
- ANUSPLIN (10-km gridded observations\*) downgraded data on the CRCM grid (1961-2003)

\*Hutchinson et al. (2009) : Canada-wide daily interpolated observations (10 km gridded climate dataset)

#### Common time window

• 1979-2001

Monthly weighting factors for the considered variables (aggregated per season)

• Daily precipitation, minimum, and maximum temperature





# Methodology

➢ 5 Attributes (RCM or reanalysis against observations)

$$W_i = \prod_j f_j^{n_j}$$

- Relative Absolute Mean Error (from daily values): ATT1
- Annual variability (mid-term): ATT2
  - Difference in annual anomalies between observation and RCMs/reanalysis
- Spatial Pattern: ATT3
  - Spatial similarity of mean value between observation and RCMs/reanalysis at a grid point
- Extreme & median values: ATT4
  - 0.1, 0.5 and 0.9 percentile values
- Multi-decadal trend (long-term): ATT5 Temporal trends in climate variables





#### Seasonal weighting factors averaged over the study area from NARR, CRCM4.1.1\_NCEP, CRCM4.1.1\_ERA40, and CRCM4.2.3ERA40 runs, computed with respect to ANUSPLIN downgraded values (Eum et al., 2010)

| Variables     | RCMs            | Spring | Summer | Autumn | Winter |    |
|---------------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----|
| Variables     | Terris          | (MAM)  | (JJA)  | (SON)  | (DJF)  |    |
|               | CRCM4.1.1_NCEP  | 0.287  | 0.276  | 0.219  | 0.446  |    |
| Precipitation | CRCM4.1.1_ERA40 | 0.143  | 0.019  | 0.141  | 0.171  | i. |
| 1             | CRCM4.2.3_ERA40 | 0.184  | 0.225  | 0.170  | 0.263  | 1  |
|               | NARR            | 0.386  | 0.481  | 0.470  | 0.120  |    |
| Minimum       | CRCM4.1.1_NCEP  | 0.254  | 0.243  | 0.315  | 0.207  |    |
|               | CRCM4.1.1_ERA40 | 0.247  | 0.238  | 0.171  | 0.289  |    |
| Temperature   | CRCM4.2.3_ERA40 | 0.132  | 0.299  | 0.223  | 0.279  |    |
|               | NARR            | 0.368  | 0.220  | 0.292  | 0.225  |    |
|               | CRCM4.1.1_NCEP  | 0.084  | 0.138  | 0.131  | 0.173  |    |
| Maximum       | CRCM4.1.1_ERA40 | 0.132  | 0.079  | 0.086  | 0.106  |    |
| Temperature   | CRCM4.2.3_ERA40 | 0.141  | 0.123  | 0.071  | 0.201  | 1. |
|               | NARR            | 0.643  | 0.660  | 0.711  | 0.520  |    |

**The higher weighting factor** represents the higher accuracy.

Canada

How to address these uncertainties ? SIMPLE COMPARISON between different downscaling methods i.e. To construct PDF of future climate change from an ensemble of statistical & dynamical downscaling models

## **RCMs and Statistical Downscaling**

### Example in southern Québec (seasonal Tmax), 2041-2070 vs 1961-1990



## **RCMs Scenarios** (comparison of signals over southern Québec)

Annual Precipitation vs Temperatures (min. empty symbol; max. plain symbol) changes for 2050s (vs 1970-1999)





Environnement



### **RCMs Scenarios** (comparison of signals over southern Québec)

Seasonal Precipitation vs Temperatures (min. empty symbol; max. plain symbol) changes for 2050s (vs 1970-1999)



## **Conclusion** (RCMs evaluation and inter-comparison)

#### The results suggest that:

- As noted in previous studies (ex. over Europe), certain RCMs have systematic biases, and also a clear tendency to enhance these in more extremely cold or warm conditions;
- RCMs with different classes of model error, and biases are strongly seasonally based (ex. Large spread of accuracy for Temp. in winter)
- Quite good simulated values of temperatures across southern Québec from the Canadian RCM (not as much for precipitation)

Regional and seasonal variation or accuracy over whether the GCMs or the RCMs had the dominant influence.

Hence, the need for a comprehensive sampling of both (GCMs and GCMs/RCMs cascade or extensive matrix of simulations) is requisite in order to provide a set of projections suitable to inform risk assessments for adaptation

# **Conclusion** on Climate variability and changes at the regional scale: what we can learn from various downscaling approaches

## The results suggest that:

- Predictability of climate variability from RCMs:
  - Teleconnections indices (GCM driven; see CMIP conclusion and Harding et al. 2010) not explicitly yet analyzed within the RCM domains and their effects on surface variables
  - ✓ Storm track (synoptic scale): quite compatible with observedreanalysis when driven by reanalysis (except strongest storms and associated winds; i.e. problematic for extremes ?)
  - ✓ Hazard problems (impact issues): under evaluation for combination of key variables (ex. storms with storm surge and oceanic waves; heat waves with various duration and threshold levels for both Tmin and Tmax joint occurrence)





## Many Thanks for your attention !

#### REFERENCES

- Barrow, E., B. Maxwell and P. Gachon, 2004 : Climate Variability and Change in Canada: Past, Present and Future, Climate Change Impacts Scenarios Project, National Report, Environment Canada, Meteorological Service of Canada, Adaptation Impacts Research Group, Atmospheric and Climate Sciences Directorate publication, Canada, 114 pp, ISBN: 0-662-38497-0.
- Eum, H.-I., P. Gachon, R. Laprise, T. Ouarda, and A. St-Hilaire (2010). Evaluation of regional climate model simulations versus gridded observed and regional reanalysis products using a combined weighting scheme. Climate Dynamics (Submitted, Nov. 2, 2010).
- Hutchinson, M. F., et al., 2009: Development and Testing of Canada Winde-Interpolated Spatial Models of Daily Minimum-Maximum Temperature and Precipitation for 1961-2003. Journal of Applied Meteorologyand Climatology, 48, 725-741.

#### WEB SITE & LINKS (information):

- Climate Analysis Group: <u>http://loki.qc.ec.gc.ca/GAC/</u> (further details about projects, and publications)
- Works on downscaling intercomparison organized through a project entitled: « PROBABILISTIC ASSESSMENT OF REGIONAL CHANGE IN CLIMATE VARIABILITY & EXTREMES », NSERC Canadian project
- CANADIAN CLIMATE CHANGE SCENARIOS NETWORK (CCCSN): <u>http://www.cccsn.ca</u>
- DATA ACCESS & INTEGRATION (global predictors and RCM/GCM daily and sub-daily outputs for North America): <u>http://loki.qc.ec.gc.ca/DAI/login-e.php</u>



